IP Ref: [redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam.

Thank you for the update.

I note that the issue-specific hearings so far do not include the question of the project's inadequate carbon budget...

If the scheme cannot be shown to save a significant amount of CO2 emissions over its lifetime, then surely it does not meet its primary goal and is effectively "not fit for purpose"?

Consequently this seems (at least to me) to be the single most important issue of all.

I would expect the applicant be required show the scheme would save enough CO2 to justify the considerable harms it would cause to the local area and its residents.

And ideally, the Examining Authority would quantify this, providing a minimum CO2 saving that they feel would make the scheme worth pursuing, and would ensure it could be met.

Does the Examining Authority concur?

Will this issue be addressed during the examination procedure?

If so, can you give any indication of when it might be?

If not, I would appreciate it if the reasoning behind that decision could be explained.

Thank you.

Regards

Tim Henderson

(AI was not used in the preparation of this email.)